

Request for Proposal (RFP)
Bid No: Bid 20-10-2379LE
Addendum No. 2

Date: November 23, 2020

To: All Proposers

Subject: Addendum No. 2
 Consisting of Three (3) Pages

RFP No.: Bid 20-10-2379LE

Project Name: N11(1A)1,2&4 Road Improvement Project in Mariano Lake, NM

Owner: Navajo Division of Transportation

Proposer shall make note of and/or incorporate all changes listed below into the requested Request for Proposal (RFP):

1. The Navajo Division of Transportation has received the following questions regarding this RFP and thereby issues the following responses:

Questions Submitted	Responses Provided
<p>I was looking at this project and had a question regarding the wire enclosed riprap. I'm attaching the plan sheet for reference. Would you be able to confirm the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the riprap 1' thick 2. Will the steel stakes be required on this installation as typical with New Mexico DOT Class A Riprap installations 	<p>No, the details on sheet 32 call out the necessary riprap thicknesses (457mm typical thickness with 1.5m thickness at the toe locations).</p> <p>See General Note 8 and the "Typical Wire Enclosed Riprap Section" detail on sheet 67 for wire enclosed riprap anchor requirements. Also refer to Section 251.03 of the Supplemental Specifications (Exhibit F) within the NDOT Contract.</p>
<p>Items 251 Rip Rap – Plans/Specs call for a Class 2 rock for all the rip rap items, which is a 21" minus rock. Plans call for a depth of 457mm (18") for wire enclosed, which makes a class 2 rock oversized. Same goes for the grouted and placed RR (check dams/pipe protections). Recommend using a class 1 rock for all the rip rap items.</p>	<p>Table 705-1 of FP-14 Specifications indicates that the Class 2 riprap upper value range (which is only 15% of the total volume) falls within the values of 15-21 inches. This specification does not require the 21 inch value noted in question, therefore providing a riprap material submittal with a maximum size between 15-18 inches is still acceptable.</p> <p>No change in the bid items to occur.</p>

Request for Proposal (RFP)

Bid No: Bid 20-10-2379LE

Addendum No. 2

Item 60101 Minor Concrete – Please provide details for the .90 CM qty. Is this a pipe headwall or another item?	See sheet 62 of the plans.
Item 55201 Structural Concrete – Per note 5 sheet 47, is the Methacrylate only applied to the deck portion under the barrier wall or does the whole deck get an applications. Special Provisions (sheet 251) specify only on existing bridge decks methacrylate is required. Being a new structure is this required?	Yes, the Methacrylate shall be applied to the full width/length of the bridge deck.
Regarding line item 30101-2000 Untreated Aggregate Base Grade “D” - The contract book has a different table for gradations under 703-2. The bid schedule refers to Grade “D” which is from the FP-14 book on table 703-2 (see attached). Please confirm which table to use.	Bid item 30101-2000 Untreated Aggregate Base Grade “D” should follow the gradation in Table 703-2 on page 298 of the Supplemental Specifications in Exhibit F of the NDOT Contract book.
Under line item 40201-0500, (402.01) is calling for hydrated lime, we always use Versabind which is a cement-based product. Is Versabind acceptable for use in asphalt?	Versabind is acceptable as long as it meets the FP-14 specifications for Type 2, cement antistripping additive (see sections 702.05(b) and 701.01).
On table 703-4 (see attached), is the ¾” (19mm) gradation considered “Grading “B,” as identified on the bid schedule?	Yes, the ¾” (19mm) grading on Table 703-4 is considered the “Grading B” as called for in the bid schedule. Grading B is the older FP-03 specification designation.
Section 213.11 requires an R-Value to accept the subgrade, but nowhere else in the 213 section does it indicate R-Value.	The Contractor is directed to review general notes 35 & 36 on sheet 3 of the plans and the provided Geotechnical Reports (dated 8/04/10 and 11/15/19) for the subgrade requirements and values.
I was hoping you could help me clear the specification up for 62901-110 Rolled Erosion Control Product, Type 4 (RECP). Is this a US DOT standard specification or can it be found elsewhere?	The Contractor is directed to review Sections 629 and 713.17 of the FP-14 Standard Specifications for Construction of Roads and Bridges on Federal Highway Projects. https://highways.dot.gov/sites/fhwa.dot.gov/files/docs/federal-lands/specs/12851/fp14.pdf

END OF ADDENDUM NO. 2

Request for Proposal (RFP)
Bid No: Bid 20-10-2379LE
Addendum No. 2

Thank you for your interest!

 11/23/20

Ardaniel Begay, Principal Contract Analyst
Project Contact Person